



3160 Derry Road East · Mississauga, ON · Canada L4T 1A9

Phone: 905 677 1889 Fax: 905 677 5658

November 14, 2006

Mr. Brian A. Little
Fortfield,
176 Whitechurch Road
Ballywater, Newtownards
Northern Ireland BT222JZ

Dear Mr Little

I refer to our previous dealings in relation to various concerns that you had relating to the business and I considered it was appropriate for me to report back to you with an explanation of the action that I have taken.

As you know, we met on 24 September 2006 to discuss your concerns. You sought to bring your concerns to my attention, in my capacity as Chairman of the Audit Committee. You did so under the provisions of the Company's 'Whistleblower Protection Policy' and/or Code of Ethics.

You provided me with a large number of documents to consider and endeavored to explain to me your concerns, which you believed were contained in the documents.

In the circumstances, namely that there continues to be correspondence between you and Magellan on this and other matters, I wish to reiterate my position in this letter. My intention is to recap my view of the position reached in relation to your concerns and to set out my stance on the way forward.

In accordance with the Company's 'Whistleblower Protection Policy', the matter was dealt with promptly. Murray Edwards, Chairman of the Board, was able to promptly meet with you on September 19, to discuss it, despite his busy schedule. Arrangements were also made to meet with me at the earliest opportunity, which took place on the following Sunday afternoon (24 September 2006) in my office.

We discussed your concerns for some time during our meeting. Having expressed your concerns to me, I spoke with senior company officials in order to establish the relevant facts. I ensured that these were promptly communicated to me. I also considered the bundles of documents that you provided to me and took into account your comments made to me directly.

Discussions also took place between Murray Edwards and me. The issues were also discussed during a meeting of the Board of Directors of Magellan Aerospace Corporation on November 9, at which I was present.

I was satisfied (and remain satisfied) that the issues you raised did not (and do not) warrant further steps or remedy. I considered that the issues you raised were not financial or



3160 Derry Road East · Mississauga, ON · Canada L4T 1A9

Phone: 905 677 1889 Fax: 905 677 5658

governance issues that were of concern to me as Chairman of the Audit Committee. They did not, in my view, amount to unethical or unlawful acts on the part of the Company or any of its employees.

I believe that the concerns that you have raised principally relate to the manner in which you believed the Company was being managed. That is to say, you felt that there were better ways of managing the Company, mainly in terms of cash flow management, and strategy to turn the Company around.

Instead, I determined that they were issues generally known to the Company (indeed, in many cases to the Company's bankers, customers and suppliers). While it was clear to me that you held strong opinions about the way in which the Company could best be managed, my role is to ensure that issues relating to the proper and ethical governance of the Company are not left unchecked. It is also to ensure that any complaints or concerns about the governance and control of the Company have a proper avenue of investigation and report and, where appropriate, remedy.

In the circumstances, I explained to you my opinion that I would not report your concerns as meriting any remedial action or further investigation. This remains my position.

The matters that you were raising were historic and related to your working relationship with the Company. They do not merit further consideration under the provisions of Magellan's Whistleblower Protection Policy and/or Code of Ethics.

The only outstanding matter I could determine is that you appear to have an employment dispute with the Company and that matter is being dealt with by Jo-Ann Ball, the Vice President of Human Resources.

Thank you for bringing these matters to my attention. I trust that this letter is a helpful summary of the position I reached which I have previously communicated to you.

Yours sincerely,

Magellan Aerospace Corporation

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Bill Dimma". The signature is written in a cursive style and is underlined with a horizontal line.

Mr. William Dimma
Chairman of the Audit Committee



From the home of Brian & Jackie Little

FORTFIELD

176 Whitechurch Road
Ballywalter
Newtownards
Co. Down BT22 2JZ

Telephone: (028) 4275 8248

Fax: (028) 4275 8248

Mobile: 07703 259010

Web/E-Mail: www.fortfield.com

FedEx delivered 20 Nov 2006 9.13am 17 November 2006

Dear Mr Dimma - Chairman of the Magellan Aerospace Audit Committee
(also Mr Don Lowe and Mr Bruce Gowan - the other MAL
Director members of the Audit Committee)

My whistleblowing disclosures

I have received your letter dated 14 November yesterday afternoon, in which you report back to me on the actions you have taken.

First it is frustrating and disappointing that it has taken you this long to report back and, moreover, that it has only taken place after numerous further communications from myself and my lawyers and that apparently very little has been done to investigate or act on the matters I disclosed. In particular no one has made any effort to contact me to discuss my disclosures further, despite the repeated offers I made to make myself available for this purpose, even to the extent of offering to travel to Canada and London for a face-to face meeting. I made it plain when we spoke on September 24th that I had not told you everything, and that solely reading the dossiers was not the complete picture. Your report is completely lacking in detail.

Second, as regards your "history" of contact, you signally ignored the disclosures and events prior to my summary dismissal which are an essential part of the course of dealing around these issues, though of course these pre-date your personal involvement. You make particular mention of the Murray Edwards meeting. My meeting with Murray Edwards was only reluctantly granted by him and it was plain when we met that he did not want to engage around most of the issues I was trying to raise, and said on three occasions that illegal and inappropriate business practices were matters properly raised with you.

Third, we are fundamentally at odds over the categorisation of the disclosures I made. They are simply dismissed by you on the second page of your letter as mere management and strategy issues, which you then seek to present as being a difference of opinion, or as historic and related to my working relationship with the Company, which is certainly not the case. They were and are protected disclosures in terms of my rights at law.

Fourthly, you do acknowledge that there is an employment dispute, which you say is being dealt with by Jo-Ann Ball, but I have not heard from her at all under the terms of the Whistleblower Protection Policy. I have also written separately yesterday to

FedEx delivered

20 Nov 2006

9.45am

(at local
FedEx facility)

Delivery at
address

21 Nov 2006

5pm

Estimated
by FedEx

FedEx delivered
20 Nov 2006 9.51am

Mr W. Davis and his colleagues on the Magellan Aerospace and Human Resources and Compensation Committee .

I am forced to conclude that my disclosures have not been taken seriously and your letter is an attempt to close off and cover up these issues .



Brian Little

From: brian little [brian@fortfield.com]
 Sent: 11 September 2007 13:44
 To: m.edwards@edcofin.com; wdimma@brookfield.com; wdimma@msn.com
 Subject: MAC - update

Gents Based on the Final PwC report dated 24 August 2007, provided to us last week, it would appear that CD2 - 17 April 2007, provided to Mr Dimma by me in early May 2007 was either not given to PwC, or certainly some of the documents / parts of the interviews have not informed the PwC analysis /conclusions.

In particular in section 8., despite the C\$40m+ NRC/Inventory on the MAC balance Sheet. The latest Exhibits do not even contain the Airbus production programmes (most recent internal Airbus document now 20 August 2007 for 2008 , 2009 and 2010 (speculative), the Airbus O & D update post Paris /Boeing 777 orders or a proper analysis of either the Q4.2006 EAC or indeed a Q2.2007 EAC. All of this of course combined with no financial analysis /business judgement against my shopping list 16 request on 24 April 2007. As a result we are now updating all of this ourselves.

Based on the most recent Document listing updates received from PinsentMasons (August 2007) it is also clear that the CD2 copy provided to Mr Murray Edwards in late April 2007, for onwards transmission to PinsentMasons, has not been disclosed to PinsentMasons by the Respondents. It contains relevant materials/emails for both Murray Edwards and PinsentMasons. My UK lawyers are arranging to provide the CD2 to PinsentMasons directly now, as they have just recently requested same.

The forthcoming replacement of the C\$70m of 8.5% convertible unsecured subordinated debentures (John Dekker / Rich Neill webcast comments in Q4.2006 and Q2.2007) adds further impetus on these matters as I also sought to professionally address, (particularly on August 8,9,10 and September 14 2006) and outside of a public situation, this MAC Balance Sheet item through the appropriate MAC management and governance structures during the last 12/18 months.

Brian
 Tracking:

| Recipient | Read |
|-----------------------|------------------------|
| m.edwards@edcofin.com | Read: 09/11/2007 15:06 |
| wdimma@brookfield.com | Read: 09/11/2007 15:39 |
| wdimma@msn.com | |
| Malcolm Mackillop | Read: 09/11/2007 14:07 |
| Simon Jeffreys | Read: 09/11/2007 13:41 |